The relevance of unit labor costs for exports in the Euro Area

Oliver Picek¹ Božena Bobková²

¹European Trade Union Institute, Brussels ²European Commission and Charles University Prague

11th FIW Conference – Vienna, November 29 2018

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Two views on the eurozone crisis

German wage moderation is a major crisis cause

- Key: Cost competitiveness through wage policy
- Divergence in Unit Labor Costs
- Evidence: Figure next page, correlation of inflation rates with nominal unit labor costs

Price mechanism not at the core of the problem

- Relative size instead of relative price effect
- Spillovers of German demand on European South small
- Aggregate ULC lags CA and is driven by non-tradables sector
- German quality and technology superiority

ヘロト 人間 ト ヘヨト ヘヨト



| ◆ □ ▶ | ◆ □ ▶ | ◆ □ ▶ | ◆ □ ● | ● ○ ○ ○ ○

Background Specific problem

Results

Conclusion

Two faces of the ULC debate



Figure: Heiner Flassbeck, but also Jean-Claude Trichet, Angela Merkel, Peter Bofinger



Figure: Servaas Storm (and Naastepad), but also Gaulier and Vicard, Gabrisch and Staehr, Consensus View

Problematic arguments used against ULC view I

Hypotheses/"factual statements":

- Aggregate ULC positively correlated with imports, but not negatively with exports
- ULC small part of gross output price in e.g. manufacturing, intermediate input value make up most of gross output value
- Estimations of correlation between RULC (wage share) and real exports: RULC elasticity of exports is low or insignificant in standard export equations

くロト (過) (目) (日)

Problematic arguments used against ULC view II

Main problem

- ULC measures only direct unit labor cost, but not the labor cost contained in intermediate goods
- applies to both sectoral and aggregate indices
- German wage moderation also affects the indirect unit labor cost part in each sector
- Therefore: Need a vertically integrated unit labor cost (VIULC) indicator to properly assess labor cost developments
- Additionally: Export-weighted instead of aggregate economy (VIEW-ULC)

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 三日

Research question

Is VIULC or VIEW-ULC an empirically more useful measure than ULC?

- Is there a cross-country correlation between VIEW-ULC (or its domestic part) and exports?
- What part of the gross output price is vertically integrated unit labor cost?
- Recalculate elasticities: VIULC elasticity of export demand higher?

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト … ヨ

Method and Data

- Calculate data series for VIULC by using Input-Output tables
- Data source: World Input Output Database (WIOD)
 - Trade interlinkages and global value chains depicted
 - 35 sectors, 43 countries + 1 model of RotW
 - SEA provides compensation of employees and gross operating surplus

ヘロト 人間 ト ヘヨト ヘヨト



Regression Decomposition

Compare two regressions:

- DULC and real exports excluding Tourism, cumulative growth rates, EU11, 1999-2007 (roughly comparable to Gaulier and Vicard 2013)
 - \Rightarrow no correlation
- VIEW-ULC and real exports excluding Tourism, cumulative growth rates, EU11, 1999-2007 ⇒ Negative correlation as expected and $R^2 = 0.32$ with

ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘビト

export-weighted vertically integrated unit labor costs



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト æ



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト æ



Decomposition of the export-weighted gross output price (cumulative change 1999-2007):

- Cumulative contributions of export-weighted unit costs (bars) to the export-weighted inflation rate of gross output (white line), 1999-2007, EU11 by country, 1999-2007
- same graph, but indirect unit costs split into foreign and domestic indirect unit costs

・ロト ・ 同ト ・ ヨト ・ ヨト … ヨ



◆□▶ ◆御▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで



<ロ> (四)、(四)、(日)、(日)、 2

Background Specific problem Results

Regression Decomposition

Conclusion

Econometric analysis

- Fixed effects panel with time dummies
- Standard export regressions
- Nominal exports explained by one export demand variable, one price/cost competitiveness variable
 ⇒ only get two coefficients (country ones don't make sense with such a short time period)

ヘロト ヘ戸ト ヘヨト ヘヨト

- Time period: 1995-2007 (build-up towards crisis)
- Euro Area 11

Explained variable	Cost competitiveness variable	Demand variable	Tourism Comp.C. ex- cluded		Dem.C.
Nominal	Standard ULC (WIOD)	Nominal Final Demand		insignificant	0.67
Exports					
Nominal	Standard ULC (WIOD)	Nominal Final Demand	Yes	insignificant	0.67
Exports					
Nominal	VIEW-ULC	Nominal Final Demand		-0.34	0.86
Exports					
Nominal	VIEW-ULC	Nominal Final Demand	Yes	-0.35	0.87
Exports					
Nominal	Domestic VIEW-ULC	Nominal Final Demand		-0.38	0.89
Exports					
Nominal	Domestic VIEW-ULC	Nominal Final Demand	Yes	-0.39	0.90
Exports					
Nominal	Domestic VIEW-ULC	Nominal GDP		-0.43	1.02
Exports					
Nominal	Domestic VIEW-ULC	Nominal GDP	Yes	-0.44	1.02
Exports					

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

Conclusions

- ULCs are the wrong measure for the cost competitiveness situation of the export sector
- Export-weighted vertically integrated ULC are theoretically and empirically more sound
- Empirical evidence supports this view based on standard export regressions

ヘロト ヘアト ヘビト ヘビト

But: Absolute changes in demand much greater than changes in VIEW-ULC!

Further research

- Which variable 1: VIEW-ULC or merely its domestic part (DULC+IULC(Dom)?
- Which variable 2: IUCC is a cost and DUCC is the mark-up (variable by exporter)?

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう

э.

- Use other measures for export performance
- WIOD2016 for more data
- Comparison with Lommatsch et al. (2017): export-weighted TiVA-exports show same result
- Onnection with models?

Implications for the eurozone ULC debate

Not revolutionary, but still..

- Critique of ULC view is multi-faceted (e.g. industrial structure argument), we have not dealt with that
- But: VIULC may provide a part of the defense of the ULC view. An economy-wide wage moderation affects gross output price at least twice..
- Paraphrasing M. Twain: The reports of (VI)ULC's death have been greatly exaggerated.
- In the end: Majority view (Anti-ULC) faction probably still correct

ヘロン 人間 とくほ とくほ とう